

SCRUTINY COMMENTS ON THE S.V. CHETTY IRON ORE MINE OF M/S. SRI. S.V. SRINIVASULU, FOR FINAL MINE CLOSURE PLAN, OVER AN AREA OF 60.23 HA, AS PER CEC, IN JAISINGHPUR VILLAGE, SANDUR TALUK, BELLARY DISTRICT, KARNATAKA STATE. SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL UNDER RULE 24 OF MCDR, 2017. CATEGORY OF THE MINE IS A (M- MECHANIZED), OPEN CAST MINE. SANDUR RESERVE FOREST. DATE OF EXPIRY OF THE MINING LEASE IS 31/03/2020. ML. NO. 2604.

TEXT:

1. On the cover page, the category of the mine is indicated as A (M-Mechanized), but in the text para it is given as A(FM-Fully Mechanized), better to give appropriately, what is the actual category of the mine.
2. The list of the annexures enclosed in the document, need to be given with date of the letter/ numbers etc., for reference, instead of without anything. Besides, the sl.no. 8 & 10, founds to be repetition.
3. Part-B, in Sl.no. 1.1.2, mine code and registration number should be given separately.
4. In part-C, Mine code/ lease code has been given with mine code number, which must be corrected with appropriately.
5. In part-E, para 1.4.2, details of pits proposed for production are not furnished for the years indicated. Besides, the actual work done, no remarks, furnished.
6. Para 1.4.6, no remarks furnished in the last column. In the light of the above remarks, the para 1.4.7, need to be attended with comments if applicable.
7. The para 1.4.15, to 1.4.17, the proposals and the remarks/reasons need to be given with appropriate comments wherever applicable.
8. The para 1.4.20 to 1.4.23 need to be attended appropriately, as applicable.
9. Table no. 10A, need to be attended appropriately, giving the financial years, instead of giving one year, two years & etc.
10. Para 3.1(a), the mine proposed for the A (M-Mechanized), but in other para it is found to be A (FM), please attend appropriately and correctly. (ii). In the same para the information furnished on pit dimension of the pit and the average depth of the pits and the direction of the advancement, this needs to be given with table. (iii). Besides, the average depth indicated with the top & bottom in meter is found to be not tallying and this needs to be attended appropriately and correctly.
11. In Para 4.1, indicated with one inactive dump of 1.54 ha area extent, but what is the available quantity of the materials is not given in the table.
12. In the FMCP chapter, the land use pattern indicated is not reported for back filling area in the current working. In the light of the above remarks, the text and the plates needs to be attended, wherever applicable.
13. Para 5.1.1, under mined out land it is given that the about 2.85 ha area has been ear marked for the back filling during the year 2017-18 to 2019-20, if it is so, why the same is not brought out or update the land use pattern.
14. Para 5.3, under financial assurance table, the back filling status should be updated.
15. The certificate from the QP need to be corrected, the lessee will approach the authorities of IBM.
16. Surface Plan (Plate No. II/a): Pit numbers should be given for reference along with the extent of each pit and the demarcation on the plan should be with clarity. Dumps and stacks also need to be numbered for easy reference with extent. (ii). The back filling area having marked in the plan, but not updated in the relevant tables of the text and in financial assurance. In the light of the above remarks, the other plates may be attended wherever applicable.
17. Geological Plan (Plate No. II/b): The UPL is not marked on the plan, similarly ultimate pit slopes in the sections.
18. Production & Development Plan: The plan and section of the Production & Development Plan not prepared and enclosed for the year 2018-19 & 2019-20.
19. Reclamation Plan (Plate No. III): The reclamation & rehabilitation work undertaken in the form of back filling other than the R & R document approval as per ICFRE may be brought out appropriately with clarity.